

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,104 09/18/2003		Chen-Yueh Fan	CFP-15211 (15745/405)	7126	
23595	7590 12/06/2005	EXAMINER			
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
SUITE 820	AVENUE SOUTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402	3751			

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•			To kan			
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	1,000			
		10/666,104		FAN, CHEN-YUEH				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert M. Fo	etsuga	3751				
The MAILING I	DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the st Any reply received by the Cearned patent term adjustments	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIGER, FROM THE MAILING Devailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. Cified above, the maximum statutory period at or extended period for reply will, by statute of the first than three months after the mailing lent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS  136(a). In no event  will apply and will e e, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION  , however, may a reply be tin  xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from  tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
,	·							
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accor	dance with the practice under t	Ex parte Qua	//e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/	are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
, = , , ,	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	uirement.					
Application Papers								
10)⊠ The drawing(s)  Applicant may no	on is objected to by the Examino filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> is/ but request that any objection to the awing sheet(s) including the correct claration is objected to by the E	/are: a) ☐ ace e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. Se I if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119		·					
a) All b) So  1. Certified  2. Certified  3. Copies of applications.	nt is made of a claim for foreignme * c) None of: copies of the priority documen copies of the priority documen of the certified copies of the prior on from the International Burea d detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been ority documer au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receiv 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Ci	ted (PTO-892)	,	\$)	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate	150)			
3) Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date _	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	•,	5) Notice of Informal ( 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	<i>)-</i> 152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/666,104

Art Unit: 3751

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 18, 2005 has been entered.

Page 2

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter set forth in claims 5-8 (lns. 4-5 of each) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Applicant did not address this objection in the response filed August 08, 2005.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/666,104

Art Unit: 3751

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "valve seal" and "first chamber" set forth in claim 1, and the subject matter set forth in claims 5-8, could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).

Applicant did not address this claim language in the response.

Art Unit: 3751

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Newton.

Re claims 1, 2 and 4, the Newton reference discloses an assembly comprising: a fixed shower (connected to 12); a hose 26; a valve including a body 2,21 having an inlet 3, a first outlet 4, a second outlet 5 and a chamber 23 with an internal thread (pg. 1 lns. 83-84); a rod 17 including a valve core 29 having a seal 16,18, and a knob 32; and a cover 31, as claimed.

Applicant argues at pages 4-5 of the response certain features associated with the instant invention and the Newton disclosure. However, applicant does not indicate how these

Art Unit: 3751

features operate to distinguish the claimed invention, but rather, merely states "the structure and the movement of the application are, in fact, different from the structure and the movement of the cited reference." This argument can not be considered persuasive as the prima facie case of anticipation set forth in the previous Office action is not addressed thereby.

Re claim 5, the recited language has been considered but does not define any structure of the claimed valve over the valve of Newton. Moreover, the fixed shower head of Newton would extend "substantially downward" in the Fig. 1 orientation. Applicant did not substantively address this claim in the response.

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art and Newton.

Applicant's admitted prior art (apa) of Fig. 11 discloses an assembly comprising all claimed elements except for the valve core (810) being threadingly mounted.

Although the body and core of the apa valve do not include threads, as claimed, attention is directed to the Newton reference which discloses an analogous valve which further includes a body 2,21 and a core 29 each having threads (pg. 1 lns. 79-84). Therefore, in consideration of Newton, it would

Application/Control Number: 10/666,104 Page 6

Art Unit: 3751

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the valve art to associate threads with the body and core of the apa valve in order to enable rapid adjustment via turning movement.

- 7. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751